

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,566	03/30/2001	Jay H. Connelly	42390P10859	6351
8791 BLAKELY SC	7590 06/05/2007 OKOLOFF TAYLOR & 2	EXAM	EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	200			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/823,566	CONNELLY, JAY H.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Donald L. Champagne	3622
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE - Extended - If th - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re or within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2007.	
		action is non-final.	
3)	, -	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5,7-11 and 13-17 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,7-11 and 13-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
		oloonon roquiroment.	,
_	tion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objecd drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
		or the coranea copies not	received.
			,
Attachmen	• •	_	
2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6 Dec 2006.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date ıformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/823,566

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 March 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13-17</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klosterman et al. (US005940073A).
- 4. <u>Klosterman et al. teaches</u> (independent claims 1, 7 and 13) a method, computer readable storage medium and apparatus, the method comprising:

maintaining an electronic program guide (EPG) for broadcast content (col. 5 lines 48-53);

receiving content information (col. 4 lines 63-67) from a content service provider (distribution center, col. 4 lines 29-35) for one or more stored content data files (the content stored in the receiving devices, col. 4 lines 53-62), wherein each of the one or more stored content data files (inherently) occupies its own storage space at a client device;

allowing the content service provider to determine a number of line items (e.g., information region **220**) in an EPG to represent the content information for the one or more stored content data files (col. 5 lines 53-57);

allocating the determined number of line items in the EPG (Fig. 2(a));

Application/Control Number: 09/823,566

Art Unit: 3622

Page 3

allowing a user access to the one or more stored content data files (e.g., item 260 in Fig. 2(b)) via the electronic program guide (Fig. 2(a)) by linking, which reads on merging, the content information for display in the determined number of line items, wherein the EPG includes the content information for the one or more stored content data files and content information for the broadcast content (col. 5 lines 64-66 and col. 6 lines 5-15 and 47-54);

charging the content service provider a predetermined amount for each allocated line item (col. 6 lines 34-36 and 54-57); and

storing a content data file to be broadcast at a later time (col. 6 lines 13-15).

- 5. Klosterman et al. does not teach that once the user accesses one of the one or more stored content data files, making the storage space of the accessed stored content data file at the client device available for storage of the content data file to be broadcast at a later time.
 Because storage space is not infinite, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add to the teachings of Klosterman et al. that once the user accesses one of the one or more stored content data files, making the storage space of the accessed stored content data file at the client device available for storage of another content data file to be broadcast at a later time.
- 6. <u>Klosterman et al. also teaches</u> at the citations given above claims 2, 8, and 14; and claims 4, 10 and 16, where the content service provider is *NBC* and the allocated line item is item **220** in Fig. 2(a)).
- 7. <u>Klosterman et al. also teaches</u> claims 3, 9 and 15 (col. 11 lines 22-27, where *National News* in Fig. 9(a) is a category and Fig. 9(b) shows its allocated line items); and claims 5, 11 and 17 (col. 2 line 3 and col. 12 lines 12-20).

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 22 March 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant argues (pp. 9-10) that Klosterman et al. does not teach or suggest the last limitation of each of the independent claims. Para. 5 above acknowledges that Klosterman et al. does not teach the limitation, and the examiner acknowledges that Klosterman et al. does not suggest the limitation either. Rather, it is common sense which suggests the

Application/Control Number: 09/823,566

Art Unit: 3622

limitation: making the storage space of an accessed stored content data file available for storage of another content data file to be broadcast at a later time. What is the purpose of the storage space if not to store content not yet viewed? As to choice of storage space, there are only two options: either use storage space currently occupied by content data files already viewed (accessed), or use storage space not currently occupied by content data files already viewed. If one has no interest in viewing the content again, then the first option is the preferred choice. If one definitely wants to view the content again, then the second option is the preferred choice. In either case, both options would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention.

Page 4

Conclusion

- 10. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09823566. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30 AM to 8 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717. The fax phone number for all formal matters is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

- 13. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 571-272-6724.
- 14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 15. AFTER FINAL PRACTICE Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that "disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration" (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration.
- 16. Applicant may have after final arguments considered and amendments entered by filing an RCE.
- 17. **ABANDONMENT** If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

24 May 2007

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINER Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622